arXiv:1303.6476v1 [physics.gen-ph] 25 Mar 2013

Generation of Goldbach sequences in quantum mechanics

Thiago Prudêncio[1, *] and Edilberto O. Silva[2, †]
[1]Instituto de Física, Universidade de Brasilia-UnB,
04455, 70919-970, Brasilia-DF, Brazil.
[2]Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal Maranhão,
Campus Universitário do Bacanga, 65085-580 São Luís-MA, Brazil.

(Dated: March 27, 2013)

This Letter reports for the first time the problem of generating Goldbach sequences in terms of Fock states and examine their equivalence with the corresponding Goldbach conjecture terms. We show that the expectation values of number operators in Fock states cannot generate these sequences without ambiguity due to normalization of superpositions of Fock states. Instead, we show that a complete correspondence between the Goldbach conjecture terms and the Goldbach sequences generated by Fock states can be achieved by means of projections involving number operator and superpositions of Fock states. Our proposal appears as a new alternative way to interpret measurements in fundamental quantum mechanics.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Fd, 42.50.Gy, 02.10.De

One of the simplest assertions in mathematics is given by the Goldbach's conjecture (GC), which asserts in its simplest form that even numbers greater than 2 are always written as a sum of two prime numbers. It is an opened problem to demonstrate that the GC can be elavated to a theorem status [1, 2]. The GC can also be seen as a sequence, composed of equations, that starts from the first GC statment C_0 and evolves to the GC sequence C_k, with $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ holding for the the $2(k+2)$ even number. Note that $C_0 = \{4 = 2+2\}$, $C_1 = \{6 = 3+3\}$, $C_2 = \{8 = 3+5\}$, $C_3 = \{10 = 7+3 = 5+5\}$, ...

We are interested in knowing if is possible to derive such GC sequences by some physical device. This investigation is not related to the fundamental mathematical GC problem, i.e., that one concerned to the theorem demonstration. Since physically the set of equations in the GC sequence cannot achieve the infinity, although a large number k can be conceivable, the physical generation of GC sequences is limited to sequences of a finite number.

Our investigation begins by mentioning some models in quantum mechanics naturally described in terms of Fock states, that can be used to derive a GC sequence in terms of these states. An usual description of the physics of an harmonic oscillator is given in terms of Fock states $|n\rangle$, generated from the vacuum $|0\rangle$ by successive applications of the creation operator. It is known that the lifetime τ_n of a n-photon Fock state $|n\rangle$ scales as $\tau_n = \tau_1/n$, while this Fock state can decay independently [3]. The generation of such states has been improved in recent years by interposing a nonlinear quantum system between the source of classical radiation and the resonator, as the use of solid-state devices for generation and analysis of Fock states by means of superconducting phase qubits coupled to a waveguide resonator leading to the deterministic generation of Fock states [4, 5]. Another manifestation can be seen in non-demolition measurements [6–9] with a two-level system. In this case, an ion with two levels coupled by laser-light or a highly excited atom with two Rydberg levels coupled by a microwave field, in the resonant regime, where the positive difference between radiation and two-level system frequencies is much higher than the coupling strength, exchange photon in the rotating-wave approximation according to a Jaynes-Cummings model and a projection measurement project one of the atomic states, with the determination of a given Fock state. Advances in these methods include applications in superconducting flux qubits [10], quantum feedback [11] and atomic clocks [12].More recently, the possibility of atomic Fock states [13–15], states containing exactly a fixed number of atoms, rised the possibility of investigating few-body physics with precise measurements, where the preparation of a quantum state with the mean number $\langle n\rangle$ of atoms can be chosen precisely with a minimal mean variance.

In this Letter, we propose for the first time a connection between Goldbach sequences and a measurable physical system. This is easily obtained by considering Fock states. In other words, we construct a Fock state sequence $\mathcal{Q}_k$ in a manner equivalent to the GC sequence C_k. We start considering a sequence of sets involving the equalities with the expectation values of the number operator $\hat{n}$. As in the GC sequence C_k, we have the sequences $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{\langle 4|\hat{n}|4\rangle = \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle + \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle\}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{\langle 6|\hat{n}|6\rangle = \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle + \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle\}$,..., such that $\mathcal{Q}_k$ is a set involving the $\langle 2(k+2)|\hat{n}|2(k+2)\rangle$ term, the $2(k+2)$ term in the GC sequence. Notice that if all the equalities in $\mathcal{Q}_k$ are true, the sequence C_k coincides exactly with $\mathcal{Q}_k$.

This proposal is developed in two stages:

(a) Fock states and number operators

Consider a given state $|\psi\rangle$. This state and a state $\alpha|\psi\rangle$ correspond to the same physical state, for α being

---

[*] thprudencio@gmail.com
[†] edilbertoo@gmail.com

a complex number. If this state $|\psi\rangle$ is normalized, α can be represented by a phase $e^{i\theta}$, where θ is real. If instead we have a superposition state the phase term becomes relevant and can lead to different states $|\psi'\rangle - |\psi\rangle = |\psi'\rangle + e^{i\theta_1}|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi'\rangle + |\psi\rangle = |\psi'\rangle + e^{i\theta_2}|\psi\rangle$, where $\theta_1 = (2k+1)\pi$ and $\theta_2 = 2k\pi$, $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, corresponding to phase values to the periodicity of the exponential in the values -1 and 1, respectively.

Now, we restrict ourselves to the Fock states $|k\rangle$, $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, that fulfill the eigenvalue equation for the number operator $\hat{n}$,

$$\hat{n}|k\rangle = k|k\rangle. \tag{1}$$

Such states have positive integers eigenvalues k associated to them and can be generated from the vacuum $|0\rangle$ by means of

$$|k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \left(a^\dagger\right)^k |0\rangle. \tag{2}$$

We are also interested in the superposition of Fock states, that can be, in principle, generated from the vacuum

$$\frac{|k\rangle \pm |k'\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \left(a^\dagger\right)^k \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{k'!}} \left(a^\dagger\right)^{k'} \right) |0\rangle \tag{3}$$

where $\langle k'|k\rangle = \delta_{k,k'}$ due to the normalization condition.

Although it is a quite obvious observation, superpositions like $|k\rangle + |k\rangle = 2|k\rangle$ are in fact pure states equivalet to $|k\rangle$, with the denormalization factor 2.

If we want relate the equations of the GC sequence to Fock states, a physically consistent way is by means of their expectation values of the number operator $\hat{n}$,. In a given Fock state, we have

$$\langle k|\hat{n}|k\rangle = k. \tag{4}$$

If we have a superposition state

$$\left(\langle k| + \langle k'|\right) \hat{n} \left(|k\rangle + |k'\rangle\right) = k + k', \tag{5}$$

or if we require normalization

$$\left(\frac{\langle k| + \langle k'|}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \hat{n} \left(\frac{|k\rangle + |k'\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{k + k'}{2}, \tag{6}$$

where $k + k'$ is a sum of the integer numbers k and k'.

(b) Constructing Goldbach conjecture sequences C_k and Q_k

Consider the GC sequences

$$C_0 = \{4 = 2 + 2\}, \tag{7}$$
$$C_1 = \{6 = 3 + 3\}, \tag{8}$$
$$C_2 = \{8 = 3 + 5\}, \tag{9}$$
$$C_3 = \{10 = 7 + 3 = 5 + 5\}, \tag{10}$$
$$C_4 = \{12 = 5 + 7\}, \tag{11}$$
$$C_5 = \{14 = 3 + 11 = 7 + 7\}, \tag{12}$$
$$\vdots \tag{13}$$

and the corresponding GC sequence in terms of expectation values

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{\langle 4|\hat{n}|4\rangle = \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle + \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle\}, \tag{14}$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{\langle 6|\hat{n}|6\rangle = \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle + \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle\}, \tag{15}$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{\langle 8|\hat{n}|8\rangle = \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle + \langle 5|\hat{n}|5\rangle\}, \tag{16}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_3 = \{\langle 10|\hat{n}|10\rangle &= \langle 7|\hat{n}|7\rangle + \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle \\ &= \langle 5|\hat{n}|5\rangle + \langle 5|\hat{n}|5\rangle\},\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_4 = \{\langle 12|\hat{n}|12\rangle = \langle 5|\hat{n}|5\rangle + \langle 7|\hat{n}|7\rangle\}, \tag{18}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_5 = \{\langle 14|\hat{n}|14\rangle &= \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle + \langle 11|\hat{n}|11\rangle \\ &= \langle 7|\hat{n}|7\rangle + \langle 7|\hat{n}|7\rangle\},\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$
$$\vdots$$

By using the Eq. (4), these set of equations $\mathcal{Q}_k$ and C_k are equivalent for each $2(k+2)$ even number. It looks as a quite obvious result, in fact.

However, in view of two possible superposition of Fock states: a normalized superposition (5) or non-normalized superpostion (6), the correspondence is not immediate.

Let us observe in detail. The state $|4\rangle$ leads to the expectation value $\langle 4|\hat{n}|4\rangle = 4$. The expectation value of $\hat{n}$ in the state $|2\rangle$ is $\langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle = 2$. But since the superposition $|2\rangle + |2\rangle = 2|2\rangle$ represents the same state $|2\rangle$, normalized, the result of the expectation value should be also $\langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle = 2$.

The sum $\langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle + \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle$ can be generated by

$$\langle 2|\hat{n}(|2\rangle + |2\rangle) = \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle + \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle,$$

but the normalized superposition $(|2\rangle + |2\rangle)/2$ will lead to

$$\langle 2|\hat{n}(\frac{|2\rangle + |2\rangle}{2}) = \langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle.$$

The same result will be achieved by the expectation of $\hat{n}$ in the same normalized superposition

$$(\frac{\langle 2| + \langle 2|}{2})\hat{n}(\frac{|2\rangle + |2\rangle}{2}) = 2.$$

Then we can ask first if the equality in $\mathcal{Q}_0$ comes from a physical projection, this means that

$$\langle 4|\hat{n}|4\rangle - 2\langle 2|\hat{n}|2\rangle = 0,$$

is a result of some projection involving Fock states. For example:

$$(\langle 4| - 2\langle 2|)\,\hat{n}(|4\rangle + |2\rangle) = 0.$$

Under normalization, we can write them

$$\frac{|4\rangle - 2|2\rangle}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{|4\rangle + |2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\hat{n}\frac{|4\rangle + |2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{4|4\rangle + 2|2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}},$$

such that the projection

$$\frac{(\langle 4| - 2\langle 2|)}{\sqrt{5}}\frac{4|4\rangle + 2|2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 4 - 4 = 0.$$

Consequently, we can represent $\mathcal{Q}_0$ by the projection equation

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \{[\frac{(\langle 4| - 2\langle 2|)}{\sqrt{5}}\hat{n}\frac{(|4\rangle + |2\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}] = 0\}.$$

By the same argument

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{[\frac{(\langle 6| - 2\langle 3|)}{\sqrt{5}}\hat{n}\frac{(|6\rangle + |3\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}] = 0\}.$$

In the case of $\mathcal{Q}_2$, we have

$$\langle 8|\hat{n}|8\rangle - \langle 5|\hat{n}|5\rangle - \langle 3|\hat{n}|3\rangle = 0,$$

and the states

$$\frac{|8\rangle - |5\rangle - |3\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{|8\rangle + e^{(2k+1)\pi i}\left(|5\rangle + |3\rangle\right)}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{|8\rangle + |5\rangle + |3\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{|8\rangle + e^{2k\pi i}\left(|5\rangle + |3\rangle\right)}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad (21)$$

can be projected such that now we can represent $\mathcal{Q}_2$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{\frac{\langle 8| - \langle 5| - \langle 3|}{\sqrt{3}}\hat{n}\frac{|8\rangle + |5\rangle + |3\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = 0\}.$$

The projection, instead of the expectation value, do not lead to the problem related to the normalization. Then, projection measurements appear to be a good way to generate the GC sequence $\mathcal{Q}_k$.

The context of expectation values the GC sequence is not satisfactory since in the case of repeated prime numbers in the expression $2(k'+2) = p+p$, , where $2(k'+2)$ is an even number in $C_{k'}$ and p is a prime number, we have to deal with the problem of normalization. In fact,

$$\langle 2(k'+2)|\hat{n}|2(k'+2)\rangle = \langle p|\hat{n}|p\rangle + \langle p|\hat{n}|p\rangle,$$

lead to $2(k'+2) = p+p$. But the right hand side can also be written as

$$\langle p|\hat{n}\left(\frac{|p\rangle + |p\rangle}{2}\right) = \frac{\langle p|\hat{n}|p\rangle + \langle p|\hat{n}|p\rangle}{2},$$

i.e., it is a projection. It is one-half value of the previous one and do not correspond to GC term $2(k'+2) = p+p$, but $\frac{p+p}{2}$.

The equivalence between the states $2|p\rangle$ and $|p\rangle$ up to a normalization factor leads to the above ambiguity, that is surpassed if we normalize all states. The price to pay is that we will need projections instead expectation values to represent the GC sequence $\mathcal{Q}_k$.

Now, let us consider the case where the prime numbers are different from each other. These prime numbers p_1 and p_2 satisfy a GC term in $2(k''+2) = p_1 + p_2$ in $C_{k''}$. If we consider the superposition states

$$|p_1\rangle + |p_2\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$|p_1\rangle - |p_2\rangle, \quad (23)$$

the expectation values

$$\left(\langle p_1| \pm \langle p_2|\right)\hat{n}\left(|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle\right),$$

will both lead to the equations corresponding to the Goldbach conjecture

$$2(k''+2) = p_1 + p_2.$$

However, if we normalize the states in Eqs. (22) and (23), a result different from $p_1 + p_2$ is obtained, namely

$$\frac{\langle p_1| \pm \langle p_2|}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{n}\frac{|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2},$$

while

$$\langle 2(k''+2)|\hat{n}|2(k''+2)\rangle = 2(k''+2).$$

Again, the choice of the normalization will imply if we achieve the GC sequence $C_{k''}$ or not.

If we persist in the expectation value route, we could argue that maybe other relations among the normalized states could lead to the GC sequences $\mathcal{Q}_k$. Considering the relation involving normalized states,

$$\langle 2(k'+2)|\hat{n}|2(k'+2)\rangle = 2\left(\frac{\langle p| + \langle p|}{2}\hat{n}\frac{|p\rangle + |p\rangle}{2}\right),$$

$$\langle 2(k''+2)|\hat{n}|2(k''+2)\rangle = 2\left(\frac{\langle p_1| \pm \langle p_2|}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{n}\frac{|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

satisfy the Goldbach conjecture. But, the multiplicative factors appear can be written as

$$\langle 2(k'+2)|\hat{n}|2(k'+2)\rangle = \left[\left(\frac{\langle p| + \langle p|}{2}\right)\sqrt{2}\hat{n}\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{|p\rangle + |p\rangle}{2}\right)\right],$$

$$\langle 2(k''+2)|\hat{n}|2(k''+2)\rangle = \left[\left(\frac{\langle p_1| \pm \langle p_2|}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sqrt{2}\hat{n}\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right].$$

Again the equivalences

$$\frac{|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \leftrightarrow \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{|p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

and

$$\frac{|p\rangle + |p\rangle}{2} \leftrightarrow \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{|p\rangle + |p\rangle}{2}\right),$$

are source of ambiguity. The better choice is to normalize the states.

Instead of expectation values, we can as in the previous particular cases, consider projections. As such

$$\frac{|2(k'+2)\rangle - 2|p\rangle}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{|2(k'+2)\rangle + |p\rangle}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and

$$\frac{|2(k''+2)\rangle \pm |p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Will lead to the projections

$$\frac{\langle 2(k'+2)| - 2\langle p|}{\sqrt{5}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k'+2)\rangle + |p\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\langle 2(k''+2)| \mp \langle p_1| \mp \langle p_2|}{\sqrt{3}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k''+2)\rangle \pm |p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Then, we can then represent the GC sequences $\mathcal{Q}_{k'}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{k''}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{k'} = \{\frac{\langle 2(k'+2)| - 2\langle p|}{\sqrt{5}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k'+2)\rangle + |p\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = 0\} \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{k''} = \{\frac{\langle 2(k''+2)| \mp \langle p_1| \mp \langle p_2|}{\sqrt{3}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k''+2)\rangle \pm |p_1\rangle \pm |p_2\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = 0\}. \tag{26}$$

In the case, $C_{k'''}$ where $2(k'''+2) = p_1' + p_2' = p' + p'$, where p_1', p_2', p' are different prime numbers, we have the following set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{k'''} = \{\frac{\langle 2(k'''+2)| - 2\langle p'|}{\sqrt{5}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k'''+2)\rangle + |p\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\langle 2(k'''+2)| \mp \langle p_1'| \mp \langle p_2'|}{\sqrt{3}}\hat{n}\frac{|2(k'''+2)\rangle \pm |p_1'\rangle \pm |p_2'\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} = 0\}. \tag{27}$$

As a consequence, all the GC sequences $\mathcal{Q}_k$ can be represented by projections involving the number operator $\hat{n}$ and are equivalent to C_k:

$$\mathcal{Q}_k \leftrightarrow C_k.$$

In conclusion, we have derived for the first time a GC sequence $\mathcal{Q}_k$ in terms of expectation values of number operators in Fock states in correspondence to the GC sequence C_k. We found that the normalization or not of superposition of Fock states will determine whether we achieve or not an equivalence between C_k and $\mathcal{Q}_k$. As a consequence we showed that the correspondence cannot be realized by mean of expectation values without ambiguity involving the normalization of superposition of Fock states. On the other hand, we showed a complete correspondence between C_k and $\mathcal{Q}_k$ by means of projections involving the number operator $\hat{n}$ and normalized superposition of Fock states. In particular, this correspondence C_k and $\mathcal{Q}_k$ can be realized experimentally by means of the advances in Fock state generation. Because of its direct connection to the fundamental quantum mechanics, this Letter may give new directions to the interpretation of measurements in quantum mechanics.

Acknowledgements

E. O. Silva acknowledges research grants by CNPq project No. 484959/2011-5. T. Prudêncio thanks CAPES (Brazil) for partial finantial support. The authors thank D. Bazeia, B. Baseia and M. M. Ferreira Jr. for their reading and suggestions on the manususcript.

[1] Y. Wang, *The Goldbach Conjecture* (World Scientific, 2002).
A. Doxiadis, *Uncle Petros and Goldbach's Conjecture* (Bloomsbury, 2001).

[2] A. Languasco, Rend. Sem. Mat. Unv. Pol. Torino **53** (1995) 4.

[3] N. Lu, Phys. Rev. A, **40** (1989) 1707.

[4] M. Hofheinz, et al. Nature, **454** (2008) 310.

[5] H. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 240401.
[6] M. Brune et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., **101** (2008) 240402.
[7] M. Brune, S. Haroche, V. Lefevre, J.M. Raimond and N. Zagury, Phys. Rev. Lett., 65, 976 (1990).
[8] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, S. Haroche, Rev. Mod. Phys., **73** (2001) 565.
[9] T.C.Ralph et. al., Phys. Rev. A, **73** (2006) 012113.
[10] A. Lupascu et al., Nature Physics, **3** (2007) 119.
[11] C. Sayrin, et al., Nature, **477** (2011) 73.
[12] C. Guerlin et al., Nature, **448** (2007) 889.
[13] C. S. Chuu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 260403.
[14] M. Pons et al., Phys. Rev. A, **79** (2009) 033629.
[15] D. Sokolovski, M. Pons, A. del Campo, J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A, **83** (2011) 013402.